

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/988,907	11/20/2001	Cathy A. Lue Chee Lip	FE0053955511	9214	
7590 08/10/2005			EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER F. REGAN, ESQUIRE			LEZAK, ARRIENNE M		
,	R, DOPPELT, MILBRAT	H & GILCHRIST, P.A.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 3791	•		AKTONII	. TALER NOMBER	
Orlando, FL 32802-3791			2143		

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Camminer	\ 		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
## Examiner ## Art Unit ## Ar	Office Action Summary				• •					
Arrienne M. Lezak			L							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of minimary be available under the provisions of 32 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of minimary be available under the provisions of 32 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of minimary be available under the provisions of 32 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ### If the period for reply appendix busines is set than thing (30) days, a neply white his datedory minimum of thing (20) days, a poly white his observed than the mailing date of this communication, reply white his set of the communication, reply white his set of the set of the communication, reply white his set of the set of the communication, reply white his set of the set of the communication, reply white his set of the set of the communication, reply white his set of the communication, reply white his set of the set of the communication, reply white his set of the set of the communication, reply white his set of the communication of the communication. ### If NO period the set of the set of the communication of the communication of the communication. ### If NO period the set of the set of the communication of the communication. ### If NO period the set of the communication of the communication, reply the set of the communication. ### If NO period the set of the communication is not fine the set of the communication. ### If NO period the set of the set of the communication is non-final. ### If NO period the set of the set of the set	•			Lezak						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 30°CR1 136(a), in no event, however, may a right be timely filed Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 30°CR1 136(a), in no event, however, may a right be timely filed Extension of the period for reply specified above is less than thinky (30° days, a negly white the statutory minimum of thinky (20°) days will be considered timely. If No period for reply specified above is less than thinky (30° days, a negly white the provision of the period for reply white the statutory period will apply and will regis for \$60° how mailing date of this communication, reven if timely filed, may reduce any search patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20°C and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20°C and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.40° is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.40° is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1.40° is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1.40° is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 1.40° is/are pending in the application. 6) Claim(s) 1.40° is/are pending in the application. 7) Claim(s) 1.40° is/are pending in the application for mending in the application of the pending in the application for a supplication for pending in the application for a suppli		The MAILING DATE of this communication a		I						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenations of this may be surfable under the proteins of 37 CPR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a raphy be timely filled after 50.6 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply visible the score of the communication. Failure to reply visible the score of the communication. Failure to reply visible the score of the communication. Failure to reply visible the score of extended parted for reply visible the application to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three more instance protein the application to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three more instance protein the application to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three more and the fill the application to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than the application of the communication. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	Period fo	or Reply								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discolsure Estatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-988) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		n-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the merits is					
4)		·								
4)	Disposit	ion of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) 5) ☐ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) 5) ☐ Notice of Graftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)	_		n	•						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oratingerson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	٠/ڪ									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	5)									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election red	juirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicat	ion Papers								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				objected to by the E	xaminer.					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				•						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
			ısı -							

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner notes that Claims 1, 12, 21 & 32 have been amended, and no claims have been added or canceled. Claims not explicitly addressed herein are found to be addressed within prior Office Action dated 24 February 2005 as reiterated herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent US 6,408,337 B1 to Dietz.
- 3. Regarding Claims 1, 2, 4, 12-14, 21, 22, 24, 32, 33 & 35, Dietz discloses a method, system, apparatus and computer-readable medium for processing a visitor request over an intranet, (per pending Claims 2, 14 & 22), for a visitor visiting an organization, the method comprising:
 - filling-out and submitting within the organization a visitor request form over the intranet, (Fig. 2 & Col. 2, lines 31-47);
 - creating a visitor database on the visitor based upon the filled-out visitor request form, (Col. 2, lines 10-25 & Col. 9, lines 7-17);

Art Unit: 2143

Page 3

- transmitting a visitor approval request message via e-mail to at least one evaluator within the organization if approval is required, the visitor approval request message having a hyperlink to the filled-out visitor request form, (Col. 2, lines 31-67; Col. 3, lines 1-2; Col. 5, lines 32-46; Col. 6, lines 25-38; & Col. 10, lines 12-19), (Examiner notes that it would have been obvious to include a hyperlink to the specific visitor database entry within an email between an engaging manager and a work assignment approver for purposes of eliminating the need for the approver to search the entire visitor database, thus saving time and expediting the approval process);
- submitting an approval recommendation by the at least one
 evaluator via e-mail updating the visitor database, (Col. 2, lines 21-25; Col. 6, lines 25-67; Col. 7 lines, 1-3; & Col. 10, lines 12-50); and
- issuing a visitor badge based upon a validated filled-out visitor request form, (per pending Claims 4, 12, 24 & 35), (Col. 10, lines 51-67 & Col. 11, lines 1-9).

Thus, Claims 1, 2, 4, 12-14, 21, 22, 24, 32, 33 & 35 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of the teachings of Dietz.

4. Regarding Claims 3, 13, 23 & 34, Dietz discloses a method, system, apparatus and computer-readable medium for processing a visitor request over an intranet further comprising updating the filled-out visitor database based upon the received approval

Art Unit: 2143

recommendations, (Col. 2, lines 21-67), and validating the updated filled-out visitor request form, (Col. 9, lines 5-50). Thus, Claims 3, 13, 23 & 34 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of the teachings of Dietz.

- 5. Regarding Claims 5, 7-9, 15, 17, 18, 25, 27-29, 36 & 38-40, Dietz discloses a method, system, apparatus and computer-readable medium for processing a visitor request over an intranet wherein the visitor badge comprises at least one of an escort required badge and a no escort required badge, (per pending Claims 5, 15, 25 & 36), further classifying the visitor as either a regular visitor or a foreign visitor, (per pending Claims 7, 27 & 38), wherein a regular visitor comprises at least one of a U.S. citizen, and a non-U.S. citizen with an alien registration card, (per pending Claims 8, 17, 28 & 39), and wherein a foreign visitor comprises at least one of a non-U.S. citizen without an alien registration card, a person representing a foreign company, and a person having dual citizenship, (per pending Claims 9, 18, 29 & 40), (Col. 10, lines 65-67 & Col. 11, lines 1-9).
- 6. Examiner finds that as Dietz discloses security clearance and requisite badges, it would have been obvious for said badges to distinguish visitors by national origin or citizenship and further enumerate the need for a visitor escort as necessary within the organization. Examiner finds that Dietz teaches the incorporation of non-employee workers within an organization, which workers are obviously often hired to do a myriad of jobs, from grounds maintenance to database construction. Clearly, under most situations, an individual hired to do grounds maintenance would not require the same type of organizational access as would a database administrator. However, in the event

Page 5

a grounds maintenance individual had to access a highly secure area, (to clean, etc.), said individual may require an escort and possible clearance based on national origin. Thus, Claims 5, 7-9, 15, 17, 18, 25, 27-29, 36 & 38-40 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of the teachings of Dietz.

- 7. Regarding Claims 6, 16, 26 & 37, Dietz discloses a method, system, apparatus and computer-readable medium for processing a visitor request over an intranet wherein a user filling-out and submitting the visitor request form is not hosting the visitor. (Col. 9, lines 5-67 & Col. 10, lines 1-35), then method further comprises:
 - identifying a host of the visitor in the filled-out visitor request form, (Col. 9, lines 64-67 & Col. 10, lines 1-3), (Examiner notes that in creating the work assignment, the vendor would obviously include identification of engaging manager);
 - transmitting a visitor certification request message via e-mail to the host, the visitor certification request message having a hyperlink to the filled-out visitor request form, (Col. 10, lines 12-25), (Examiner notes that work assignment approval is obviously done at host); and
 - submitting a certification recommendation by the host via e-mail for updating the visitor database, (Col. 10, lines 33-64).

Thus, Claims 6, 16, 26 & 37 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of the teachings of Dietz.

Art Unit: 2143

8. Regarding Claims 10, 19 & 30, Dietz discloses a method, system, apparatus and computer-readable medium for processing a visitor request over an intranet wherein the at least one evaluator comprises at least one of security administrator and an import/export administrator, (Col. 10, lines 65-67 & Col. 11, lines 1-9). Thus, Claims 10, 19 & 30 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of the teachings of Dietz.

Page 6

9. Regarding Claims 11, 20 & 31, Dietz discloses a method, system, apparatus and computer-readable medium for processing a visitor request over an intranet further comprising transmitting a status message via e-mail to a user filling-out and submitting the visitor request form, and if the user filling-out and submitting the visitor request form is not hosting the visitor, then transmitting another status message via e-mail to the user hosting the visitor, (Col. 9, lines 5-67 & Col. 10, lines 1-64), (Examiner notes that it would have been obvious to send a status message to both the host and non-host users as taught by Dietz. Particularly, Examiner notes that both the host organization and the vendor would need to know if approval had been granted to the non-employee for purposes of engagement and payment). Thus, Claims 11, 20 & 31 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of the teachings of Dietz.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 18 May 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims

Art Unit: 2143

present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made.

Page 7

- 11. Regarding Applicant's argument that the prior art does not disclose intranet communication relating to visitor requests, Examiner respectfully disagrees noting that Dietz clearly teaches both intranet and Internet communication, as noted herein above. Specifically, Examiner notes the use of a LAN and database for internal communications, (approvals), (Fig. 2 & Col. 5, lines 4-62), and the Internet for external communications with outside vendors. In other words, Dietz clearly teaches both internal, (intranet), and external, (Internet), communications, as some visitor approvals obviously require numerous, (both internal and external), permissions.
- 12. Examiner has addressed Applicant's Amendment, and has further rejected all claims, as noted herein above. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2143

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Arrienne M. Lezak whose telephone number is (571)-

272-3916. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571)-272-3923. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arrienne M. Lezak Examiner

Art Unit 2143d

AML

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100